OKEECHOBEE SOIL \& WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Local Working Group<br>MINUTES

| May 13, 2020 | $1: 00$ PM | GoToMeeting \|Teleconference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## ATTENDANCE

Chad Allison
Vanessa Bessey
Lauren Butler
Howard Harrison
Audrey Kuipers
Courtney Murphy
Bonnie Pelaez
Matt Pearce
Libby Pigman
Gary Ritter
Glynn Rutledge
Sheila Shirah
Nicki Smith
John Williamson

Central Florida Regional Planning Council<br>FDACS- Office of Agricultural Water Policy<br>UF/IFAS Extension-Okeechobee<br>District Conservationist- Natural Resources Conservation Service<br>Okeechobee Soil and Water Conservation District<br>Okeechobee Soil and Water Conservation District<br>FDACS- Office of Agricultural Water Policy<br>Florida Cattlemen's Association<br>South Florida Water Management District<br>Florida Farm Bureau Federation<br>Board Supervisor<br>Okeechobee Soil and Water Conservation District<br>Board Supervisor<br>Williamson Cattle Company

## PROVIDED FEEDBACK PRIOR TO MEETING

Greg Kennedy
Raulie Raulerson

Florida Department of Environmental Protection FDACS-Office of Agricultural Water Policy
I. Introductions- Participants took turns introducing themselves and the organization/agency they represented. Participants were given the survey questions in advance to prepare for the meeting.
II. Purpose of Local Working Group- purpose of this meeting is to gather feedback about USDA-NRCS programs and priorities, encourage public involvement and report to the State Technical Committee
III. 2018 Farm Bill Program Background- programs discussed EQIP, CSP, RCPP, ACEP (ALE/WRE) Farm Bill Key Provisions:

- 50\% of EQIP funds must be allocated to livestock agriculture (down from 60\% in 2014 Farm Bill)
- 10\% of EQIP funds must be allocated to wildlife practices (up from 5\% from 2014 Farm Bill)

| Mariano Corona | Nicki Smith | Donald Sellers, Jr. | Douglas Burnham | Glynn Rutledge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Seat 1, Chairman | Seat 2 | Seat 3 | Seat 4 | Seat 5 |

Minutes
$-10 \%$ of our funds go to Historically Under-served Farmers (5\% to Beginning Farmers \& 5\% to Socially Disadvantaged Farmers)

- Higher payment rates of up to $90 \%$ are available for the following types of farmers:
- New/Beginning Farmers (have not farmed in 10 consecutive years)
- Limited Resource Farmers
- Socially Disadvantaged Farmers
- Veteran Farms who are also New/Beginning Farmers \& Socially Disadvantaged will be given a high priority
-EQIP - higher payment rates, up to $90 \%$, for up to 10 state selected high-priority practices that address state priorities
-RCPP is now a stand-alone program
IV. Resource Concerns Definitions and Priority Ranking- the following resource concerns were discussed and ranked with \#1 having the highest priority:

| RANK | RESOURCE CONCERN | MEAN/AVG |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Soil quality limitations | 2.82 |
| 2 | Livestock production limitation | 3.18 |
| 3 | Pest pressure (invasive species) | 4.27 |
| 4 | Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss | 4.71 |
| 5 | Concentrated erosion | 6 |
| 6 | Source water depletion | 6.71 |
| 7 | Aquatic habitat | 8.2 |
| 8 | Degraded plant condition | 8.43 |
| 9 | Air Quality Emissions | 8.7 |
| 10 | Field Pesticide Loss | 9.71 |
| 11 | Storage and handling of pollutants | 9.85 |
| 12 | Wind and water erosion | 10.14 |
| 13 | Terrestrial habitat | 10.29 |
| 14 | Inefficient energy use | 11.71 |
| 15 | Weather resilience | 12.43 |
| 16 | Fire Management | 12.86 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

V. Prioritize NRCS Conservation Practices - - NRCS FL would like the conservation project ranking process to consider the proximity of the project area to priority areas within the state. The following practices were ranked the top 10 priorities:

| RANK | CONSERVATION PRACTICE | SCORE |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Nutriten Management | 6 |
| 2 | Prescribed Grazing | 6 |
| 3 | Irrigation Water Management | 6 |
| 4 | Heavy Use Area Protection | 5 |
| 5 | Irrigation Storage Reservoir | 5 |
| 6 | Integrated Pest Management | 5 |
| 7 | Waste Storage Facility | 3 |
| 8 | Drainage Water Management | 3 |
| 9 | Water and Sediment Control Basin | 3 |
| 10 | Cover Crop | 2 |
|  | Conservation Cover | 2 |
|  | Composting Facility | 1 |
|  | Waste Treatment | 1 |
|  | Residue and Tillage Mgt, Reduced Till | 0 |
|  | Residue and Tillage, No-Till/Strip/Direct Seed | 0 |
|  | Waste Transfer | 0 |
|  | Terrace | 0 |
|  | Animal Mortality Facility | 0 |
|  | Grassed Waterways (accidentally left off survey) |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

VI. Prioritize Source Water Body Protection Areas- The following were discussed and ranked:

## Minutes

Clean Water Act
Section 303 (d):
Impaired Waters.
These waters have
been identified as
impaired and
threatened with one
or more pollutants or
issues. These
pollutants could be
heavy metals,
phosphorous,
pesticides, etc.
Federal, state, and
locally protected
lands. These areas
provide long term
protection for
various land uses,
habitats and wildlife
species.
Conservation
projects adjacent to
or near these already
protected areas can
provide additional.
resource benefit.
Examples of
protected lands
could be
conservation
easements, state and
national parks,
military bases, state
and national forests,
etc.
Surface waters that
directly impact Lake
Okeechobee and/or
the Everglades.
Sensitive and/or
declining habitats
including native
terrestrial and
aquatic ecosystems.
Watersheds that
have an approved
Florida Basin
Management Action
Plan

## Minutes

VII. Additional comments provided through our survey were emphasis on exotic plant control specifically Smutgrass, tropical soda apple and Brazilian pepper tree. No new pay rates were discussed or submitted.
VIII. Adjournment at 1:51pm. Next meeting TBA- May 2021

Special Note:
On behalf of the Local Working Group, Audrey Kuipers completed the official Association of Conservation Districts LWG Survey on 5-14-20, that will be sent to the State Technical Committee with the responses recorded above.

